

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 9642	
09/623,181	12/12/2000	John Edward Andrew Shaw	20-954		
7:	590 07/22/2003				
William H Bollman			EXAMINER		
Farkas & Manelli Suite 700			ALEXANDER, LYLE		
2000 M Street N W Washington, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743	\(\langle \)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		4° N.	(A 1	19
	Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Offic Action Summa	09/62			OWARD ANDREW
Offic Action Summai	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
The MAIL INC DATE of this con		Alexander	1743	Idvaca
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	the cover she i with	i trie correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMION - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no semmunication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the num statutory period will apply are reply will, by statute, cause the conths after the mailing date of thi 4(b).	o event, however, may a rep statutory minimum of thirty Id will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA s communication, even if tin	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication				
2a) This action is FINAL.	,	n is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in cor closed in accordance with the				ie merits is
Disposition of Claims	,	, , ,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-84</u> is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-84</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to i	estriction and/or election	n requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to	•	_		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is				
Applicant may not request that a	•		, ,	
11) The proposed drawing correction			sapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings				
12) The oath or declaration is object	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12			440/-> /-> /0	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	•	/ under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(a) or (t).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None				
1. Certified copies of the pr	-		mliantian Na	
2. Certified copies of the pr	-		<u> </u>	04-
3. Copies of the certified controlapplication from the* See the attached detailed Office	International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a continuous for the foreign and the forei		• •		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (PT	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Sum	mary	Part of Paper No. 14	

Application/Control Number: 09/623,181

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 53-76 and 78-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Burns et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 7.

With respect to the new limitations directed to "reversibly, thermally deformable" column 6 lines 54-65 and columns 22-23 lines 47-30 respectively, teach a heating means and a melt able material that is able to partially resolidify (co. 23 lines 16-19) when cooled. This has been read on the claimed "reversibly, thermally deformable". Column 23 lines 26-29 teach the melt able material can be wax, polymer or plastic. The claim limitation of "resiliently deformable" meets the limitations of the Burns et al. because prior to heating the material is resilient to the passage of fluid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 77 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns et al.

Burns et al. teach in column 23 lines 26-29 the melt able material can be wax, polymer or plastic. Burns et al. is silent to the claimed polypropylene polystyrene polymer.

Application/Control Number: 09/623,181

Art Unit: 1743

The court decided <u>In re Leshin</u> (125 USPQ 215) the selection of a plastic/polymer being on the basis of its suitability of intended use would have been entirely obvious.

Polypropylene polystyrene is known to be inert, inexpensive and easy to fabricate. Such materials are well suited for disposable diagnostic devices because the material is inert to most biological fluids, is inexpensive and can be readily manufacture into a variety of configurations.

It would have been within the skill of the art to modify Burns et al. to select a polymer, such as polypropylene polystyrene, to gain the above advantages of being inert, inexpensive and easy to fabricate. Furthermore, Leshin above also states such a modification would have been obvious as selection of a material based upon its suitability of intended use.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants state Burns et al. fail to teach the claimed "reversibly, thermally deformable" material. The Office maintains Burns et al. teach in column 6 lines 54-65 and columns 22-23 lines 47-30 respectively, a melt able material that is able to partially resolidify (co. 23 lines 16-19) when cooled. This has been read on the claimed "reversibly, thermally deformable".

Applicant's remarks and amendments were convincing in overcoming Gubinski.

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9319 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

> Lyle A Alexander **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1743

July 18, 2003